

Infusion rather than isolation: Integrating principles of equity, diversity, inclusion, decolonization, and Indigenization in toolkits for remote instruction during the COVID-19 pandemic

Robin Attas, Lauren Anstey, Lindsay Brant and Karalyn McRae

Abstract

In the spring of 2020, our Centre for Teaching and Learning (CTL) developed the Transforming Teaching and Teaching Assistant Toolkits, consisting of in-house and curated open-access resources on various aspects of remote teaching, along with accompanying webinars. We deliberately infused principles of equity, diversity, and inclusion (EDI) and decolonization and Indigenization across all aspects of the resources for several reasons: our CTL's commitment to these principles as institutional priorities that are the responsibility of all staff, numerous theorists' advocacy to adopt inclusive pedagogies across the curriculum rather than tokenistic "add-and-stir" gestures, and a desire to counter the inequities in education and society at large re-exposed and perpetuated by the COVID-19 pandemic. We share our approach, explore its impact by outlining the toolkits' design and delivery and by analyzing data from a survey of instructors who engaged with the toolkits, and propose some strategies for educational developers engaged in resource development to undertake their own infusion initiatives.

Keywords: remote teaching, resource development, DEI, inclusive pedagogies, Indigenization, decolonization

The COVID-19 pandemic prompted countless successes and stumbles in postsecondary education as in the rest of society. The rapid pivot to online teaching and learning in March 2020 benefited some students and instructors more than others (Naffi et al., 2020; Sutherland et al., 2022), and teaching and learning centers grappled with capacity and other challenges while also receiving renewed recognition of their crucial role within institutions (Bulin et al., 2021). At the same time, institutions and individuals struggled with new instances of previously existing inequities in their professional and personal contexts, and horrific events unfolded on scales from global to national to individual, prompting in some cases new or renewed action and in others retrenchment, inaction, and outright denial.

This article provides an opportunity to reflect on one teaching and learning center's response to both the sudden shift to online teaching and learning and the inequities that existed prior to and continued during the COVID-19 pandemic. In the spring of 2020, we all worked at the same teaching and learning center at Queen's University, a mid-sized Canadian university. Our center had already begun placing a strong emphasis on decolonization and Indigenization alongside equity, diversity, and inclusion (usually abbreviated as EDI in Canada, a practice we will follow here) in teaching and learning before the pandemic. Numerous conversations, workshops, and policy changes in the previous two years had primed us to put these topics at the heart of all our work as educational support professionals. As we developed two remote teaching web resources (the Transforming Teaching Toolkit and the Teaching Assistant Toolkit) and accompanying webinars, we infused these values across all our programming rather than isolating them into special-focus activities that would be more easily tokenized or ignored, with the goal of encouraging instructors at our institution to do the same.

We describe our Summer 2020 pandemic programming and explain our approach to infusing principles of decolonization and Indigenization and EDI within it. Then we consider the impact of our approach through a survey conducted in the summer of 2021: Did those who

engaged with this programming notice our emphasis on decolonization, Indigenization, and EDI? If so, what results did it bring in terms of their own approaches to teaching and learning? Finally, we offer some guidance for other educational developers, critically examining our approach as part of broader calls to bring greater equity to teaching and learning in higher education.

Resource Description and Approach

Over the course of four months (May–August 2020), we designed two static web resources along with colleagues in our center, the Transforming Teaching Toolkit and the Teaching Assistant Toolkit, and delivered 24 associated webinars. The main Transforming Teaching Toolkit resource featured six “start here” guides on topics we thought would be most relevant and timely for instructors, while the Teaching Assistant Toolkit had subpages named by topic; both also included overview sections. Appendix A provides further details on the toolkits’ structure, and Appendix B lists the titles for all webinars.

Our decision to infuse rather than isolate discussion of decolonization, Indigenization, and EDI principles was inspired by advocates for curricular change who emphasize the importance of meaningful rather than tokenistic approaches. In disciplines including music (Hess, 2015), psychology (Goldstein, 2005), sociology (Joseph, 2012), dance (Walker, 2020), STEM (Carlone & Johnson, 2007; Espinosa, 2011), and health sciences (Bleich et al., 2015; Razack & Philibert, 2019), authors describe the importance of large-scale curricular change instead of tokenistic relegation of topics outside the white Eurocentric mainstream to a single unit or class period. Similarly, educational developers focused on inclusive pedagogies frequently outline strategies that involve large-scale change rather than additions of single lectures or readings to an existing course (Considine et al., 2017). This widespread rejection of an “add-and-stir” practice for the diversification of curriculum is sometimes associated with feminist scholarship

of the 1970s and 1980s that rejected the mere addition of women as a solution to sexism (Mohanty, 2003),¹ but its rejection has been equally firm in other social justice–focused educational movements as well. Marie Battiste (1998), writing about the decolonization and Indigenization of curriculum in the face of “cognitive imperialism,” talks about the importance of questioning “mainstream knowledge” and moving beyond tokenistic inclusions where “the Other [knowledge] is acknowledged as a knowledge, not *the* knowledge” (pp. 20–21). bell hooks’s writings on radical pedagogy, particularly hooks (1994), frequently emphasize “pedagogical practices that engage directly both the concern for interrogating biases in curricula that reinscribe systems of domination (such as racism and sexism) while simultaneously providing new ways to teach diverse groups of students” (p. 10).

Connected to this attitude is our understanding of EDI and decolonization/Indigenization as separate but related initiatives that share many features but are also unique, an observation that also came out in our research findings. These terms are complex, and local, regional, and national contexts shape their manifestations in practice; readers’ understandings may differ from our own. Decolonization for us relates to the undoing of colonial and settler-colonial institutions, processes, and practices and at its core involves the return of land (Tuck & Yang, 2012) and the fulfillment of treaty commitments where they exist. Decolonization of education is a key step toward that ultimate goal; as Murray Sinclair (Ojibway) has said in the context of Indian Residential Schools in Canada, “education got us into this mess, and education will get us out of this mess” (The National, 2015). Indigenization in higher education is a practice of recentering Indigenous ways of knowing, doing, feeling, and believing within the academy, led by Indigenous peoples (both academics and not). For us as residents of the territory currently known as Canada and Turtle Island, decolonization

1 This source is one among many. Interestingly, Western feminism came under critique for using that same “add-and-stir” paradigm as it attempted to diversify the field beyond the experiences of white Western women (hooks, 1984).

and Indigenization are strongly connected to the histories and realities of the interactions of First Peoples with European settlers and other arrivants;² and to our own ancestries (Mohawk; a variety of Western European regions). We understand EDI to be the work of promoting and supporting equity, diversity, and inclusion for all people (but centering the needs of equity-denied and underserved groups) with an aim to promote fairness in access and opportunity and a sense of belonging and mattering—rather than simple inclusion into an unjust norm.

As we planned our programming, we held these principles in mind but also needed to rapidly develop new resources in the midst of a pandemic. We wanted to give instructors practical, timely, and straightforward strategies for shifting their teaching to a remote setting. But we also wanted to take advantage of a moment when instructors were attending to teaching and learning far more than usual to promote their critical reflection on problematic existing practices that were inequitable, inaccessible, colonial, racist, Eurocentric, sexist, and more. Finally, we wanted to critically reflect on our own practices as educational support professionals, ensuring that our programming dismantled rather than perpetuated these inequities (Manathunga, 2006).

The Transforming Teaching Toolkit and associated webinars were developed first, since we knew instructors at our institution would use the summer months for professional development and course design in preparation for a fully remote Fall 2020 semester, whereas TAs would need resources just prior to the semester's start. We explicitly highlighted decolonization, Indigenization, and EDI in the Transforming Teaching Toolkit landing page with our first guiding principle: "maintain and strengthen your commitment to institutional priorities around inclusivity, equity, and diversity; decolonization and Indigenization;

2 *Arrivant* (Brathwaite, 1988; Byrd, 2011) is a term sometimes used by non-Indigenous people whose arrival on Indigenous territories was not by their own choice, usually because they or their ancestors were refugees or enslaved peoples.

active learning; aligned assessment; and experiential learning.” This guiding principle presents an assumption that EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization already are (or should be) users’ first priority in teaching and learning. Even in centers in which these elements are not institutional priorities, putting these concerns at the forefront of any static resource is a sign that they should matter to everyone. Both online materials and webinars emphasized EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization visually by placing them at the top of the initial landing page and prominently displayed in branch pages. Of the six start here guides, the first one listed on the landing page was “Inclusive Community” (not course design or technologies as in many other remote teaching resources); similarly in the 2020 webinar offerings, the first webinar after the general overview focused on inclusion in teaching and learning.

Including these topics at all would be notable, given our impression that most colleges and universities in our region of Canada tended to set them aside in favor of a focus on technology how-tos and rapid redesign of assessments and courses. We explicitly mentioned these topics in webinar titles and descriptions, although not to as great a degree as we could have (of 15 webinars, three had explicit mentions). In a self-assessment tool accompanying the website, we asked early in the survey if individuals had or would incorporate decolonization/Indigenization and/or inclusion in their teaching, which again promoted these concepts as necessary prerequisites to everyone’s effective teaching and learning.

These topics also appeared in less overt ways. “Transforming Teaching 101” was a web resource and associated webinar (delivered three times over the summer of 2020) that provided an overview of remote teaching and learning. It was difficult to condense our resources into a single webinar; yet EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization remained a part of sessions and handouts. Many “start here” guides and webinars integrated principles of EDI and decolonization/Indigenization, connecting to our own and others’ work on Indigenous pedagogies (Attas, n.d.; Garson et al., 2019; Iseke-Barnes, 2008; Pete et al., 2013).

For instance, the “Student Engagement” “start here” guide began with a description of Indigenous pedagogies as forms of active learning that have existed since time immemorial (thus contributing to decolonization by challenging the fallacy that active learning strategies are exclusively white or Western in origin); the “Course Organization” “start here” guide included discussion of accessibility and Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and began the work of Indigenization by including an Anishinaabe medicine wheel as one of many possible models for course organization.

Our collaborative approach to designing these resources led to additional opportunities for infusion. We worked with student support units across campus to highlight academic and non-academic supports for all students, but particularly for those from equity-deserving groups. We explicitly collaborated with offices whose work connected to accessibility, inclusion, and decolonization and Indigenization. We worked with educational technology colleagues in our own center to ensure that our approach informed any advice around technology, reflected in a general philosophy of having technology serve learning and learners (particularly emphasizing accessibility). Finally, our choice to emphasize and promote asynchronous course instruction wherever possible led to greater emphasis on inclusion as we emphasized the needs of students without high-speed internet, in multiple time zones, and in challenging family and home situations.

In the Teaching Assistant Toolkit, developed from May to August 2020 as a “starting point for discussion, and an invitation for further learning,” we collaborated with three graduate student educational development associates, supervised by co-author Karalyn McRae. Yasmine Djerbal, Nevena Martinović, and Andrea Reid demonstrated a passion for and commitment to equity, diversity, inclusion, decolonization, and Indigenization in all their work, and this commitment was manifest in the Teaching Assistant Toolkit. Unlike the Transforming Teaching Toolkit, the Teaching Assistant Toolkit was developed with the intention of creating a permanent rather than pandemic-focused resource.

Our graduate student colleagues used similar strategies for infusing decolonization/Indigenization and EDI. Both websites and webinars explicitly highlighted these principles, embedded them in places beyond their expected locations, gave them priority in web page placement and timing of events, and collaborated with other campus units to ensure that historically marginalized groups were effectively supported. As just two examples, these principles were addressed directly in a section on inclusive spaces highlighting inclusive pedagogies for typical teaching assistant (TA) roles and in a section on leading tutorials/seminars in which topics included the use of gender pronouns, community guidelines, and bias in teaching evaluations. The Teaching Assistant Toolkit also encouraged long-term adoption of these strategies with information on course design that graduate students might use in their future careers.

Impact

Our intentional approach to infusing decolonization/Indigenization and EDI into our remote teaching and learning resources helped us uphold our commitments to these areas as institutional and personal priorities in teaching and learning despite the demands of the pandemic. We noted informally that our approach seemed rare among teaching and learning centers regionally, nationally, and internationally. Yet equally important to our intentions was the impact this approach had on those who engaged with these resources. Did anyone notice our efforts? Even if no one noticed, did it have an impact on the degree to which individual instructors integrated attention to EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization in their own teaching during the pandemic?

To explore these questions, we conducted a web-based survey with institutional ethics board approval, administered through Qualtrics.³ Potential participants were identified as instructors and

3 GCTL-044–21 Integrating Principles of Equity, Diversity, Inclusion, and Decolonization in Toolkits for Remote Instruction; TRAQ # 6032902.

graduate students who engaged with our center's Transforming Teaching and Teaching Assistant Toolkits along with webinars offered in Summer 2020. Participants were invited to complete the survey through a direct email invitation and public promotion in our center's newsletter and social media accounts. The responses we received offer perspective on our research questions and nuance our assertion that EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization were aspects of our COVID-19 remote teaching resources that had an impact on instructors.

We received 22 responses, a low response rate given that we invited 389 people who we identified as engaging in some way with our resources and programming. Even though our survey missed a large percentage of potentially impacted individuals, our respondents' roles on campus were distributed in roughly similar proportions to the population we typically serve in our CTL: two tenure or tenure-track faculty members, seven adjunct faculty members, seven PhD students and one master's student, two educational support professionals, and three other staff members. Also typical was that most respondents declared less than five years' teaching experience and that respondents' disciplines reflected proportions on campus.

A complete list of survey questions is included in Appendix C. After several questions about demographic data, we grouped survey questions into three areas: (1) participants' own understandings of EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization; (2) the degree to which participants saw evidence of EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization principles and practices within toolkit resources and webinars; and (3) questions probing the impact of our resources specifically on participants' teaching approaches and practices.

It was important to us to understand how respondents themselves understood EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization, given that these concepts are complex and variously defined. In an open question where we asked respondents to describe their own beliefs, most agreed that it was important ($n = 13$), with terms such as *essential* and *central* recurring often. Three responses used the term *learning environment* in particular, perhaps reflecting a view of these concepts as

relating to the ability of students to be a part of a learning community or “show up as their authentic selves,” as one participant wrote. There is also clear variety in participants’ understanding: one mentioned both “accessible” and “anti-oppressive” as two separate foci, while another defined it as “treating everyone fairly.” Although no major trends emerged here given the small sample size, it is clear to us that there were differences in how participants understood these theories and practices.

When we asked survey respondents whether they noticed EDI, decolonization, and/or Indigenization in resources and webinars, eight remembered one or all of these principles as part of the Transforming Teaching Toolkit, four remembered them as part of the Teaching Assistant Toolkit, and 14 remembered them as part of webinars. In a later open-ended question, one participant added, “I have noticed that these aspects are brought up more often in live sessions. Perhaps they can be incorporated more and more in permanent sources online.” This comment suggests that our efforts were indeed noticed, although free responses temper that conclusion somewhat. Some respondents couldn’t remember where exactly they’d seen the references, which could suggest a few things: that our reputation as a center that supported these initiatives prior to the pandemic influenced respondents’ perceptions; that our programming since the summer of 2020 may also have influenced perceptions; and that other things respondents consulted during the pandemic may have blurred into their memory of the resources we targeted with the survey (two responses directly mentioned this possibility). And, of course, given our small sample size, it could be that those who were motivated to complete a survey about EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization in teaching and learning were more likely to notice these efforts than the general campus population.

A related point of influence could also be which resources participants accessed or attended: if they engaged with resources that highlighted EDI, decolonization, and/or Indigenization more strongly, they could have different memories than if they engaged with resources

in which our approach was less visible. In the Transforming Teaching Toolkit, the “Assessment Strategies” start here guide was most accessed ($n = 9$), with the “Inclusive Community” and “Course Organization” guides a close second (both $n = 6$). In the Teaching Assistant Toolkit, the most popular sections were those related to instructional strategies as a TA (“Leading Tutorials, Seminars, and Guest Lectures” and “Teaching as a Laboratory TA”; both $n = 3$), with “Creating Inclusive Classrooms” and “Assignments and Exams” coming second (both $n = 2$). Again, there needs to be the caveat that respondents may not remember their participation accurately. Indeed, when this information is compared with generalized data on web page hits, results are slightly different: the most popular Transforming Teaching Toolkit start here guide is “Student Engagement” (1,030 hits), with “Inclusive Community” and “Assessment” second and third (899 and 730 hits, respectively). Our own data on webinar attendance over the summer of 2020 suggests that participation rates were, perhaps, more influenced by timing than topic: webinars offered in May and June had considerably higher attendance (around 80–100 participants) than those in July and August (around 30 or fewer participants). Here, then, it seems our decision to put webinars on inclusive pedagogies early in the schedule may have resulted in higher uptake than if we had scheduled them as “extras” later in the summer, suggesting that timing is an important component of an infusion rather than isolation approach.

Turning to participants’ teaching practices, some areas of inclusive, decolonial, and/or Indigenous pedagogies emerged as more important than others. Strategies such as emphasizing diverse perspectives and viewpoints in curriculum and the syllabus, diversifying instructional methods, and fostering a sense of community and belonging among all students were rated as slightly more important, whereas using accessible technologies (close captioning, materials available in multiple formats, etc.) was rated as slightly less important. Yet when asked about their own practice over the previous year, more instructors indicated that they had “often” used accessible technologies and UDL principles, whereas diverse perspectives, instructional strategies,

and a sense of community tended to be rated lower. Many participants cited a lack of time as a barrier, with one person who self-identified as an educational developer sharing, "I am just trying to hang on right now . . . and this next year looks like it will once again be about managing to make it through." Perhaps technological and accommodations-related pedagogies are easier for instructors and support professionals to adopt in general and in times of crisis.

Finally, we note interesting trends regarding the impressions and practices of respondents on the topic of integrating Indigenous pedagogies. As indicated previously, we see EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization as separate but related, and this understanding seems borne out in the data. When participants were asked about the *importance* of various aspects of EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization, they rated Indigenous pedagogies and ways of knowing as almost exclusively moderately or very important (although one participant ranked it as "not important," and three said "I'm not sure"). Yet when asked which aspects of EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization had been *incorporated into practice* over the previous year, Indigenous pedagogies and ways of knowing ranked considerably lower than other elements, with the majority of respondents (15 of 18) stating "never," "rarely," or "sometimes." This finding contrasted with other listed aspects, such as UDL, use of accessible technologies, and fostering a sense of community and belonging among all students, which were rated by the majority as being incorporated into practice "sometimes" or "often."

In another open-ended question in which participants were asked to describe their beliefs on these topics (Q15), several participants expressed a similar split. P5 said all aspects were "central, though I spend more time thinking about EDI than decolonization," and P11 shared various strategies they used relating to UDL before remarking, "I want to do more, but have limited knowledge of indigenous [*sic*] worldviews and legal systems." Furthermore, when we look at our webinar attendance statistics, the only toolkit webinar facilitated exclusively by our Indigenous pedagogies educational developer

received one of the lowest attendance scores. This data might suggest that the topic was not a priority for people redesigning their courses for remote teaching, but it also might be a result of poor branding (the lead title “Let’s Sit Together” didn’t clearly define the workshop’s focus), presence of other non-toolkit offerings on Indigenous pedagogies with higher attendance, or survey questions that did not provide clear examples of Indigenous pedagogies as with other topics.

Still, we believe these responses point to two key issues in decolonization and Indigenization of higher education. They likely reflect the politics of the conversation in Canada currently, where themes of reconciliation and redress are increasingly common in national and local media and on campuses across the country. Movements such as #IdleNoMore, work by the Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada (2008–2015) and the National Inquiry into Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women and Girls (2016–2019), and events such as pipeline protests and findings of human remains at increasing numbers of residential school sites have maintained a focus on the importance of these concerns. Canadian public opinion increasingly favors the need for reconciliation (Bricker, 2021; Environics Institute, 2019; Kirkup, 2021; Reconciliation Canada, 2017), so it is perhaps not surprising that our respondents indicated those topics as an important priority for teaching and learning. Unfortunately, perhaps it is also not surprising that when it comes to action, positive progress is more limited, a finding that seems to reflect realities in Canadian higher education (Gaudry & Lorenz, 2018; Pidgeon, 2016) as well as the difficulty of the work and the need for focused attention and ongoing supportive guidance.

Discussion

It seems obvious to say that the more we emphasized EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization in toolkit websites and webinars, the more noticed it was. Yet our analysis reminds us that getting the message

out is not the same as changing teaching practices. We did not ask participants to indicate what specific changes (if any) in their teaching were made in response to our programming and hesitate to draw clear conclusions. We also do not want to over-emphasize the impact of a set of mostly static resources; there are certainly better models of developmentally oriented programs that focus on long-term change in practice (see Ebert-May et al., 2011; Yeo et al., 2019).

Yet we see great potential for educational developers to adopt our approach for other static resources, infusing EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization across their work for meaningful rather than tokenistic impact (an approach recently promoted by Dietz et al., 2022). To this end, we offer a few concrete suggestions:

- As individuals and/or as a center, do the necessary self-work and self-education to better address these topics in your work and personal lives. Start a reading group, attend a workshop with a few colleagues, and explore other options for personal development as appropriate to your context.
- Include discussion of these topics within *all* resources and workshops as well as in specialty programming. Analyze which programs are most popular and consider infusing those programs first. In resources and workshops focused on other topics, start with a discussion of EDI and/or decolonization and Indigenization.
- Consider the visual design of any resource. Introduce concepts early in the material and build on them throughout the content. If you have a series of resources that include portions related to EDI and/or decolonization and Indigenization, put those portions first in your series.
- Consider developmental trajectories for these topics: What are easier first steps? Which strategies will take more time? What other supports might instructors need?
- Collaborate with colleagues within your center and across your institution whose perspectives differ from your own, working to help one another rather than working in isolation.

- Promote the importance of EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization as critical work for all educational developers rather than the responsibility of a select few.

It is clear to us that our center amplified and continued its preexisting focus on EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization as institutional priorities through our COVID-19 pandemic programming. We may have already had a reputation for such work on campus pre-pandemic, but our decision to continue this infusion approach made it easier for some instructors (full-time, sessional, and graduate student) and educational support professionals on our campus to do the same. We could not have done this alone, and we want to acknowledge the collaborations along the way, including with other campus units and with educational support professionals of diverse backgrounds, held identities, and perspectives.

Those of us who have remained at the center where we did this work together also note that this experience motivated us to work more cohesively to create frameworks that emphasize the interrelatedness of all these important commitments. We recognized that to promote approaches to teaching and learning founded on collaborative and action-oriented solutions to changing our praxis as educators, we needed to collaborate to create frameworks that leveraged each of these areas of importance, and our individual areas of expertise, to provide more practical resources and exemplars for how to go about infusing these theories and concepts into practice. We initiated weekly conversations and shared educational resource development time to braid together our wisdom. Our collective development of the toolkits taught us that working together continuously to create resources is a practice that allows us to keep our institutional, collective, and personal commitments to this work top of mind and at the heart of all we do.

Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic could be seen as a barrier to incorporating pedagogies relating to EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization

given the unprecedented demands in both work and home life that it wrought, and certainly comments from our respondents bear this out. Our strategy of infusing these topics within timely and targeted resources seemed to help participants overcome at least some of the barriers that the pandemic provoked. Yet it is also clear that there is much left to do, particularly in participants' focus on easy-to-adopt strategies and their expressed lack of time and resources to adequately engage with more complicated practices. We are also mindful of Ahmed's (2012) point that "too much research [on diversity initiatives] becomes translated into mission speech, turning stories of diversity and equality into institutional success stories" (p. 10), and we certainly do not see our research as supporting any claims that our institution or our teaching and learning center was successful in keeping EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization front of mind in the rapid pivot to remote teaching prompted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Indeed, there have been many frustrations and failures at all levels of our institution and in our own individual experiences.

We do, however, see our research as pointing toward an important lesson: With determined effort, these concerns can become more important for more instructors, and the impacts can be felt by more students. We find ourselves encouraged by the possibility that emerged in this research for individual agency in fostering systemic and institutional change. Our respondents made choices about which approach to take and what to emphasize in their teaching. As educational support professionals, we also made choices, and those choices may have influenced our campus community. Writing specifically about gender impacts during the pandemic, King et al. (2020) present a disheartening view of gender equity during the initial months of the pandemic but also offer some hope, stating, "the gender norms and beliefs that help shape our gender systems are not immutable. They can be transformed" (p. 81). Inspired by the work of Manathunga (2006, 2011), we believe that centers for teaching and learning can be key places to expose and challenge power dynamics in institutions and ourselves and can serve as sources of transformation for equity,

diversity, inclusion, decolonization, and Indigenization of teaching and learning—if those of us who work in these centers choose to act.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge colleagues at Queen's University's Centre for Teaching and Learning who contributed to the development of the resources and programming discussed in this article, particularly Dr. Sue Fostaty Young, director of the CTL at the time of the toolkits' development and implementation.

Biographies

Robin Attas, PhD, works as an educational developer on Treaty 1 territory in Manitoba, Canada. Her research interests include exploring Disrupting interviews to support instructors decolonizing their teaching practices, and student resistance to curricular reform. Robin also worked for 10 years as a full-time music theory professor and continues to teach and research within that discipline.

Lauren Anstey, PhD, is a Teaching and Learning Specialist at the College of the Rockies, which is situated on the unceded, traditional territories of the Ktunaxa peoples. She is an Educational Developer who specializes in curriculum and course/program design. Between 2020 and 2022 she was an Educational Developer at the Centre for Teaching and Learning at Queen's University, which is situated on the traditional territories of the Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabek Nations.

Lindsay Brant, MEd, is an Adjunct Lecturer, Indigenous Curriculum and Ways of Knowing at the Smith School of Business at Queen's

University, which is situated on the traditional territories of the Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabek Nations. Her research interests include decolonizing and Indigenizing curricula, teaching, and research methods.

Karalyn McRae, PhD, is an Educational Developer in the Centre for Teaching and Learning (CTL) at Queen's University, which is situated on the traditional territories of the Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabek Nations. Her work and research focus on active learning, classroom spaces, and supporting the creation of professional development programming for graduate students, teaching assistants, and teaching fellows as educators on campus.

References

- Ahmed, S. (2012). *On being included: Racism and diversity in institutional life*. Duke University Press.
- Attas, R. (n.d.). *Decolonizing and Indigenizing*. Retrieved February 23, 2023, from <https://www.queensu.ca/ctl/resources/decolonizing-and-indigenizing>
- Battiste, M. (1998). Enabling the autumn seed: Toward a decolonized approach to Aboriginal knowledge, language, and education. *The Canadian Journal of Native Education*, 22(1), 16–27.
- Bleich, M. R., MacWilliams, B. R., & Schmidt, B. J. (2015). Advancing diversity through inclusive excellence in nursing education. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, 31(2), 89–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2014.09.003>
- Brathwaite, E. K. (1988). *The arrivants: A new world trilogy—Rights of passage/islands/masks*. Oxford University Press.
- Bricker, D. (2021, June 9). Canadians agree (77%) there should be a national day of remembrance for victims of residential schools, but split on removing statues (52%). *Ipsos News*. <https://www.ipsos.com/en-ca/news-polls/canadians-agree-there-should-be-national-day-of-remembrance-for-victims-of-residential-schools>
- Bulin, A., Grant, D., Salazar, M., & Smitherman, M. (Eds.). (2021). Educational development in the time of crises [Special issue]. *To Improve the Academy*, 39(3).
- Byrd, J. A. (2011). *The transit of empire: Indigenous critiques of colonialism*. University of Minnesota Press.

- Carlone, H. B., & Johnson, A. (2007). Understanding the science experiences of successful women of color: Science identity as an analytic lens. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 44(8), 1187–1218. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20237>
- Considine, J. R., Mihalick, J. E., Mogi-Hein, Y. R., Penick-Parks, M. W., & Van Auken, P. M. (2017). How do you achieve inclusive excellence in the classroom? *New Directions for Teaching & Learning*, 2017(151), 171–187. <http://doi.org/10.1002/tl.20255>
- Dietz, L., Jenkins, C. M., Cruz, L., Handy, A., Kumar, R., Metzker, J., & Norris, I. (2022). Wicked²: The increasing wickedness of educational developers as DEI cultural influencers. *To Improve the Academy: A Journal of Educational Development*, 41(1). <https://doi.org/10.3998/tia.459>
- Ebert-May, D., Derting, T. L., Hodder, J., Momsen, J. L., Long, T. M., & Jardeleza, S. E. (2011). What we say is not what we do: Effective evaluation of faculty professional development programs. *BioScience*, 61(7): 550–558. <https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2011.61.7.9>
- Environics Institute. (2019, October). *Towards reconciliation: Indigenous and non-Indigenous perspectives*. <https://www.environicsinstitute.org/projects/project-details/towards-reconciliation-indigenous-and-non-indigenous-perspectives>
- Espinosa, L. L. (2011). Pipelines and pathways: Women of color in undergraduate STEM majors and the college experiences that contribute to persistence. *Harvard Educational Review*, 81(2), 209–241. <https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.81.2.92315ww157656k3u>
- Garson, K., Dimitrov, N., & Bourque Bearskin, L. (Eds.). (2019). Learning at intercultural intersections: Indigenization, internationalization and intercultural learning [Special issue]. *New Directions for Teaching & Learning*, 2019(157). <https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.20322>
- Gaudry, A., & Lorenz, D. (2018). Indigenization as inclusion, reconciliation, and decolonization: Navigating the different visions for indigenizing the Canadian academy. *AlterNative*, 14(3), 218–227. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1177180118785382>
- Goldstein, S. B. (2005). Cross-cultural perspectives in the psychology curriculum: Moving beyond “add culture and stir.” In B. Perlman, L. I. McCann, & W. Buskist (Eds.), *Voices of experience: Memorable talks from the National Institute on the Teaching of Psychology, Vol. One* (pp. 45–57). American Psychological Society.
- Hess, J. (2015). Decolonizing music education: Moving beyond tokenism. *International Journal of Music Education*, 33(3), 336–347. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0255761415581283>
- hooks, b. (1984). *Feminist theory: From margin to center*. South End Press.

- hooks, b. (1994). *Teaching to transgress: Education as the practice of freedom*. Routledge.
- Iseke-Barnes, J. M. (2008). Pedagogies for decolonizing. *The Canadian Journal of Native Education*, 31(1), 123–148. <https://doi.org/10.14288/cjne.v31i1.196433>
- Joseph, C. (2012). Internationalizing the curriculum: Pedagogy for social justice. *Current Sociology*, 60(2), 239–257. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011392111429225>
- King, T., Hewitt, B., Crammond, B., Sutherland, G., Maheen, H., & Kavanagh, A. (2020). Reordering gender systems: Can COVID-19 lead to improved gender equality and health? *The Lancet*, 396(10244), 80–81. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31418-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31418-5)
- Kirkup, K. (2021, August 6). Canadians nearly twice as likely to say reconciliation with Indigenous people important rather than not in influencing their vote: Nanos survey. *The Globe and Mail*. <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/politics/article-canadians-nearly-twice-as-likely-to-say-reconciliation-with-indigenous/>
- Manathunga, C. (2006). Doing educational development ambivalently: Applying post-colonial metaphors to educational development? *International Journal for Academic Development*, 11(1), 19–29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13601440600578771>
- Manathunga, C. (2011). The field of educational development: Histories and critical questions. *Studies in Continuing Education*, 33(3), 347–362. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0158037X.2011.613375>
- Mohanty, C. T. (2003). *Feminism without borders: Decolonizing theory, practicing solidarity*. Duke University Press.
- Naffi, N., Davidson, A.-L., Kaufman, R., Clark, R. E., Beatty, B., & Paquelin, D. (2020, August 24). *Disruption in and by centres for teaching and learning during the COVID-19 pandemic: Leading the future of higher ed* [White paper]. Observatoire international sur les impacts sociétaux de l'IA et du numérique (OBVIA). <https://www.docdroid.net/L0khasC/whitepaper-disruption-in-and-by-centres-for-teaching-and-learning-during-the-covid-19-pandemic-leading-the-future-of-higher-ed-21-08-2020-pdf>
- The National. (2015). *Murray Sinclair interview* [video]. Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. <http://www.cbc.ca/player/play/2668647786>
- Pete, S., Schneider, B., & O'Reilly, K. (2013). Decolonizing our practice—Indigenizing our teaching. *First Nations Perspectives*, 5(1), 99–115. https://mfnc.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/Section6_Decolonizing-Our-Practice-Indigenizing-Our-Teaching.pdf
- Pidgeon, M. (2016). More than a checklist: Meaningful Indigenous inclusion in higher education. *Social Inclusion*, 4(1), 77–91. <https://doi.org/10.17645/si.v4i1.436>

- Razack, S., & Philibert, I. (2019). Inclusion in the clinical learning environment: Building the conditions for diverse human flourishing. *Medical Teacher*, 41(4), 380–384. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2019.1566600>
- Reconciliation Canada. (2017). *National narrative on reconciliation report*. <https://reconciliationcanada.ca/resources/national-narrative-report-on-reconciliation/>
- Sutherland, G., Vazquez Corona, M., Bohren, M., King, T., Moosad, L., Maheen, H., Scovelle, A., & Vaughan, C. (2022). A rapid gender impact assessment of Australian university responses to COVID-19. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 41(6), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2021.1971163>
- Tuck, E., & Yang, K. W. (2012). Decolonization is not a metaphor. *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society*, 1(1), 1–40.
- Walker, A. (2020). Traditional white spaces: Why all-inclusive representation matters. *Journal of Dance Education*, 20(3), 157–167. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15290824.2020.1795179>
- Yeo, M., Haggarty, L., Wida, W. (Snow, T.), Ayoungman, K., Pearl, C. M. L., Stogre, T., & Waldie, A. (2019). Unsettling faculty minds: A faculty learning community on Indigenization. *New Directions for Teaching & Learning*, 2019(157), 27–41. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.20328>

Appendix A: Transforming Teaching Toolkit and Teaching Assistant Toolkit Landing Page Organization (all sections listed in order of appearance)

| Transforming Teaching Toolkit | Teaching Assistant Toolkit |
|--|--|
| Description of purpose | Description of purpose, differences in course delivery methods, and types of TAs Key questions for teaching assistants to ask course instructors Teaching assistant rights and responsibilities |
| Link to a self-assessment survey Links to six start here guides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inclusive Community (EDI, decolonization, and Indigenization, accessibility) • Course Organization (course design strategies) • Student Engagement (active learning strategies for various teaching contexts) • Assessment Strategies (assessment design and implementation) • Tech Solutions (ideas for selecting technology, link to educational technology website) • Communications (student/instructor communications) | N/A Links to eight websites with further information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elements of Course Design for TAs • Creating Inclusive Classrooms • Leading Tutorials, Seminars, and Guest Lectures • Teaching as a Laboratory TA • Assignments and Exams • Educational Technology • Academic Accommodations • Campus Resources and Supports |
| Link to “Transforming Teaching 101” (overview of how to shift a course to remote instruction, summary of start here guides) | N/A |
| Link to “Deciding between synchronous and asynchronous approaches” information page | N/A |

Appendix B: Webinars Offered in Order of Delivery, Summer 2020

Transforming Teaching 101 (offered May, August, and September)
Strategies for Inclusive and Equitable Teaching in Remote Environments
Selecting Appropriate Technologies
Innovative Assessments for Learning
Active Learning Strategies in the Traditional or Virtual Classroom
Copyright and Remote Instruction
Let's Sit Together
Accessible Teaching in Every Context
Active Learning Strategies for Non-Lecture Style Teaching
Communicating With Students
Supporting and Leveraging Teaching Assistants
Effective Course Organization in the LMS

Appendix C: Qualtrics Survey: Integrating Principles of Equity, Diversity, Inclusion, and Decolonization in Toolkits for Remote Instruction

What is your current primary title/role?

[Faculty (Tenure), Faculty (Adjunct), Graduate Student (Master's), Graduate Student (PhD), Post-Doctoral Fellow, Librarian/Archivist, Staff (Educational Support Professional), Staff (Other), Senior-Level Administrator (e.g., Vice-Provost Teaching and Learning), Other]

How many years of experience do you have in your primary role?

[< 5, 5–10, 11–20, and > 20]

Please indicate your faculty, school, or unit:

[options listed that reflected the institution's faculties, schools, and units]

Were you a course instructor within the 2020–2021 academic year (Fall 2020 and/or Winter 2021)?

[Yes/No]

Were you a teaching assistant within the 2020–2021 academic year (Fall 2020 and/or Winter 2021)?

[Yes/No]

To what degree do you recall accessing the Centre for Teaching and Learning's Transforming Teaching Toolkit, Teaching Assistant Toolkit, and associated webinars in 2020?

[I strongly/easily recall accessing these resources, I somewhat recall accessing these resources, I do not recall accessing these resources]

How frequently do you recall accessing the Centre for Teaching and Learning's Transforming Teaching Toolkit, Teaching Assistant Toolkit, and associated webinars in 2020?

[Once, A few times, Often, I do not recall]

Which of the following resources do you recall accessing? (select all that apply)

[Transforming Teaching Toolkit websites, Teaching Assistant (TA) Toolkit websites, Synchronous webinar(s) offered by the CTL in Spring/Summer 2020]

From the list below, please select which websites from the Transforming Teaching Toolkit you recall accessing (select all that apply):

[Transforming Teaching Toolkit elements listed]

From the list below, please select which websites from the Teaching Assistant Toolkit you recall accessing (select all that apply):

[Teaching Assistant Toolkit components listed]

From the list below, please select which synchronous webinars you recall attending as part of the Transforming Teaching Toolkit in Summer 2020 (select all that apply):

[Respondents prompted to select from synchronous webinars listed in Appendix B or select I do not recall which webinar(s) I attended]

Describe your beliefs around the importance of equity, diversity, inclusion, and decolonization in your own teaching practice.

[open-ended response]

Reflecting on your teaching before COVID-19, how would you rate the importance of each of the following aspects of equity, diversity, inclusion, and decolonization in teaching and learning?

[Participants scored the following statements on a scale of *Not Important* (1), *Moderately Important* (2), *Very Important* (3), and *I'm not sure* (4):

- Use of accessible technologies (e.g., close captioning, materials available in multiple formats, etc.)
- Universal Design for Learning (UDL) to manage accommodations, extenuating circumstances requests (e.g., flexible deadlines, avoiding timed tests/exams)

- Universal Design for Learning (UDL) to allow students multiple modes of expression (e.g., choice of assignment type, design, topic, media)
- Diverse perspectives and viewpoints in curriculum and syllabus (e.g., in course readings, topics, approaches, methodologies)
- Diverse strategies for instruction (e.g., lecture, group work, sharing circle, guest speakers)
- Fostering sense of community and belonging among all students (setting classroom conduct guidelines; calling out inappropriate comments/behavior; being transparent about cultural assumptions, norms, and values)
- Indigenous pedagogies and ways of knowing]

In the last year, how much have you incorporated the following aspects of equity, diversity, inclusion, and decolonization in your teaching?

[Participants scored the following statements on a scale of *Never* (1), *Rarely* (2), *Sometimes* (3), *Often* (4), and *Always* (5):

- Use of accessible technologies (e.g., close captioning, materials available in multiple formats)
- Universal Design for Learning (UDL) to manage accommodations, extenuating circumstances requests (e.g., flexible deadlines, avoiding timed tests/exams)
- Universal Design for Learning (UDL) to allow students multiple modes of expression (e.g., choice of assignment type, design, topic, media)
- Diverse perspectives and viewpoints in curriculum and syllabus (e.g., in course readings, topics, approaches, methodologies)
- Diverse strategies for instruction (e.g., lecture, group work, sharing circle, guest speakers)
- Fostering sense of community and belonging among all students (setting classroom conduct guidelines; calling out inappropriate comments/behavior; being transparent about cultural assumptions, norms, and values)
- Indigenous pedagogies and ways of knowing]

Considering what you remember about the Transforming Teaching Toolkit, in your view, to what degree were the strategies of equity, diversity, inclusion, and decolonization in teaching and learning mentioned or incorporated?

[Respondents prompted to rate response on the scale: *Never, Rarely, Sometimes, Often, Always, I do not recall*]

Considering what you remember about the Teaching Assistant Toolkit, in your view, to what degree were the strategies of equity, diversity, inclusion, and decolonization in teaching and learning mentioned or incorporated?

[Respondents prompted to rate response on the scale: *Never, Rarely, Sometimes, Often, Always, I do not recall*]

Considering what you remember about the synchronous webinars you attended in the summer of 2020, in your view, to what degree were the strategies of equity, diversity, inclusion, and decolonization in teaching and learning mentioned or incorporated?

[Respondents prompted to rate response on the scale: *Never, Rarely, Sometimes, Often, Always, I do not recall*]

Please feel free to elaborate on your answers to the question(s) above about the degree to which topics in equity, diversity, inclusion, and decolonization were incorporated into the toolkits and/or associated webinars.

[open-ended response]

Please feel free to share any remaining comments or reflections.

[open-ended response]